arXiv:hep-th/9408030v1 5 Aug 1994

REF. TUW 94-13

Yang-Mills gauge anomalies in the presence of gravity with torsion

By O. Moritsch[1], M. Schweda and T. Sommer[2]

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Wien
Wiedner Hauptstraße 8-10, A-1040 Wien (Austria)

Abstract. The BRST transformations for the Yang-Mills gauge fields in the presence of gravity with torsion are discussed by using the so-called Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions. With the help of an operator δ which allows to decompose the exterior spacetime derivative as a BRST commutator we solve the Wess-Zumino consistency condition corresponding to invariant Chern-Simons terms and gauge anomalies.

---

[1] Work supported in part by the "Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung" under Contract Grant Number P9116-PHY.

[2] Work supported in part by the "Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung" under Contract Grant Number P10268-PHY.

1 Introduction

Gauge fields play an important role in many theories of physical interest, especially in the unification of all fundamental interactions. Anomalies can occur when the symmetries of the classical theory are not preserved at the quantum level. It is well-known that the search of the invariant Lagrangians and of the anomalies corresponding to a given set of field transformations can be done in a purely algebraic way by solving the BRST consistency equation, a generalization of the famous Wess-Zumino consistency condition [1], in the space of the integrated local field polynomials.

This leads to study the non-trivial solutions of the following cohomology problem

$$s\Delta = 0 \quad , \quad \Delta \neq s\hat{\Delta} \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ and $\hat{\Delta}$ are integrated local field polynomials and s is the nilpotent BRST operator. Setting $\Delta = \int \mathcal{A}$, condition (1.1) translates into the local equation

$$s\mathcal{A} + d\mathcal{Q} = 0 \ , \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is some local polynomial and $d = dx^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}$ denotes the exterior spacetime derivative which, together with the BRST operator s, obeys:

$$s^2 = d^2 = sd + ds = 0 \ . \tag{1.3}$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is said non-trivial if

$$\mathcal{A} \neq s\hat{\mathcal{A}} + d\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \ , \tag{1.4}$$

with $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ local polynomials. In this case the integral of $\mathcal{A}$ on spacetime, $\int \mathcal{A}$, identifies a cohomology class of the BRST operator s and, according to its ghost number, it corresponds to an invariant Lagrangian (ghost number zero) or to an anomaly (ghost number one).

The local equation (1.2), due to the relations (1.3) and to the algebraic Poincaré Lemma [2, 3], is easily seen to generate a tower of descent equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&s\mathcal{Q} + d\mathcal{Q}^1 = 0 \\
&s\mathcal{Q}^1 + d\mathcal{Q}^2 = 0 \\
&\qquad ..... \\
&\qquad ..... \\
&s\mathcal{Q}^{k-1} + d\mathcal{Q}^k = 0 \\
&s\mathcal{Q}^k = 0 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

with $\mathcal{Q}^i$ local field polynomials.

As it has been well-known for several years, these equations can be solved by using a transgression procedure based on the so-called *Russian formula* [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. More recently an alternative way of finding non-trivial solutions of the ladder (1.5) has been proposed by S.P. Sorella and successfully applied to the study of the Yang-Mills gauge anomalies [14]. The method is based on the introduction of an operator δ which allows to express the exterior derivative d as a BRST commutator, i.e.:

$$d = -[s, \delta] \ . \tag{1.6}$$

One easily verifies that, once the decomposition (1.6) has been found, successive applications of the operator δ on the polynomial $\mathcal{Q}^k$ which solves the last equation of the tower (1.5) give an explicit non-trivial solution for the higher cocycles $\mathcal{Q}^{k-1}$, ..., $\mathcal{Q}^1$, $\mathcal{Q}$, and $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark however, that in the case of pure Yang-Mills gauge theory the algebra between the operators d,s and δ is not closed [14]. In order to close the algebra, one has to introduce a further operator $\mathcal{G}$ defined by the following commutator relation:

$$2\mathcal{G} = [d, \delta] \ . \tag{1.7}$$

In our case of a Yang-Mills gauge theory in curved spacetime with torsion this $\mathcal{G}$-operator does not appear, because of the explicit presence of diffeomorphisms.

The decomposition (1.6) represents one of the most interesting features of the topological field theories [15, 16] and of the bosonic string and superstring in the Beltrami and Super-Beltrami parametrization [17]. Actually, one has also found that the operator δ corresponds to a vector supersymmetry in Witten's topological Yang-Mills field theory [18]. A further remarkable fact is that solving the last equation of the tower (1.5) is only a problem of local BRST cohomology instead of a modulo-d one. One sees then that, due to the operator δ, the study of the cohomology of s modulo d is essentially reduced to the study of the local cohomology of s which, in turn, can be systematically analyzed by using the powerful technique of the spectral sequences [19]. Recently, as proven in [20], the solutions obtained by utilizing the decomposition (1.6) turn out to be completely equivalent to that based on the *Russian formula*, i.e. they differ only by trivial cocycles (see also [13]).

The aim of this work is twofold. In a first step it will be demonstrated that the decomposition (1.6) can be successfully extended to Yang-Mills gauge theory in a curved spacetime, and that it holds also in the presence of torsion. In the second step, we will see that the operator δ gives an elegant and straightforward way of classifying the cohomology classes of the full BRST operator in any spacetime dimension. As shown in [21, 22], the eq.(1.6) allows a cohomological interpretation of the cosmological constant, of Lagrangians for pure Einstein gravity and generalizations including also torsion, as well as gravitational Chern-Simons terms and anomalies. The incorporation of scalar matter fields and Weyl transformations has been done in [22], where one can also find a discussion of Weyl anomalies. Here we want to concentrate us on Chern-Simons terms and gauge anomalies.

The further analysis is based on the geometrical formalism introduced by L. Baulieu and J. Thierry-Mieg [5, 7] which allows to reinterpret the BRST transformations as Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions. In particular, this formalism turns out to be very useful in the case of gravity [5, 7], since it naturally includes the torsion. In addition, it allows to formulate the diffeomorphism transformations as local translations in the tangent space by means of the introduction of the ghost field $\eta^a = \xi^\mu e^a_\mu$ where ξ^μ denotes the usual diffeomorphism ghost and e^a_μ is the vielbein[3].

---

[3] As usual, Latin and Greek indices refer to the tangent space and to the Euclidean spacetime.

We recall also that the BRST formulation of gravity with torsion has already been proposed by [24, 25] in order to study the quantum aspects of gravity. In particular, the authors of [25] discussed a four dimensional torsion Lagrangian, with $GL(4,R)$ as the gauge group, which is able to reproduce the Einstein gravity in the low energy limit. These BRST transformations could be taken as the starting point for a purely cohomological algebraic analysis without any reference to a particular Lagrangian. Furthermore, our choice of adopting the Maurer-Cartan formalism is due to the fact that when combined with the introduction of the translation ghost η^a it will give us the possibility of a fully tangent space formulation of gravity.

This step, as we shall see in details, will allow to introduce the decomposition (1.6) in a very simple way and will produce an elegant and compact formula (see Section 3) for expressing the whole solution of the BRST descent equations, our aim being that of giving a cohomological interpretation of the Chern-Simons terms and of the gauge anomalies in any spacetime dimension. Moreover, the explicit presence of the torsion T and of the translation ghost η^a gives the possibility of introducing an algebraic BRST setup which turns out to be different from that obtained from the analysis of Brandt et al. [12], where similar techniques have been used.

Finally, we stress that we always refer to the elementary fields, i.e. the vielbein e, the Lorentz connection ω, the Yang-Mills gauge field A, the Riemann tensor R, the torsion T, and the Yang-Mills gauge field strength F as unquantized classical fields, as done in [23], which when coupled to some matter fields (scalars or fermions) give rise to an effective action whose quantum expansion reduces to the one-loop order.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will introduce the so-called Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions for Yang-Mills gauge fields in the presence of gravity with torsion. In particular, the BRST transformations for local Lorentz rotations, diffeomorphisms, and gauge transformations are derived in a complete tangent space formalism. In Section 3 the operator δ is introduced and we show how it can be used to solve the descent equations (1.5). Furthermore, one can find a discussion about the geometrical meaning of the decomposition (1.6). Section 4 is devoted to the study of the Chern-Simons terms and gauge anomalies in any dimension. In Section 5 we briefly discuss the appearance of the $\mathcal{G}$-operator (1.7) and some detailed calculations are given in the final Appendices.

2 Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions

The aim of this section is to derive the set of BRST transformations for the Yang-Mills gauge fields in the presence of gravity with torsion from Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions [5, 7]. In a first step this geometrical formalism is used to discuss the simpler case of non-abelian Yang-Mills theory [26].

2.1 Pure Yang-Mills gauge field theory

The BRST transformations of the 1-form gauge connection $A^A = A^A_\mu dx^\mu$ and the 0-form ghost field c^A are given by [4]

$$\begin{aligned} sA^A &= dc^A + f^{ABC} c^B A^C \ , \\ sc^A &= \frac{1}{2} f^{ABC} c^B c^C \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$s^2 = 0 \ , \tag{2.2}$$

where f^{ABC} are the structure constants of the corresponding gauge group **G**. As usual, the adopted grading is given by the sum of the form degree and of the ghost number. In this sense, the fields A^A and c^A are both of degree one, their ghost number being respectively zero and one. A p-form with ghost number q will be denoted by Ω^q_p, its total grading being $(p+q)$. The 2-form field strength F^A is given by

$$F^A = \frac{1}{2} F^A_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = dA^A + \frac{1}{2} f^{ABC} A^B A^C \ , \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$dF^A = f^{ABC} F^B A^C \ , \tag{2.4}$$

is its Bianchi identity. In order to reinterpret the BRST transformations (2.1) as a Maurer-Cartan horizontality condition we introduce the combined gauge-ghost field

$$\widetilde{A}^A = A^A + c^A \ , \tag{2.5}$$

and the generalized nilpotent differential operator

$$\widetilde{d} = d - s \ , \quad \widetilde{d}^2 = 0 \ . \tag{2.6}$$

Notice that both $\widetilde{A}^A$ and $\widetilde{d}$ have degree one. The nilpotency of $\widetilde{d}$ in (2.6) just implies the nilpotency of s and d, and furthermore fulfills the anticommutator relation

$$\{s, d\} = 0 \ . \tag{2.7}$$

Let us introduce also the degree-two field strength $\widetilde{F}^A$:

$$\widetilde{F}^A = \widetilde{d}\widetilde{A}^A + \frac{1}{2} f^{ABC} \widetilde{A}^B \widetilde{A}^C \ , \tag{2.8}$$

which, from eq.(2.6), obeys the generalized Bianchi identity

$$\widetilde{d}\widetilde{F}^A = f^{ABC} \widetilde{F}^B \widetilde{A}^C \ . \tag{2.9}$$

The Maurer-Cartan horizontality condition reads then

$$\widetilde{F}^A = F^A \ . \tag{2.10}$$

Now it is very easy to check that the BRST transformations (2.1) can be obtained from the horizontality condition (2.10) by simply expanding $\widetilde{F}^A$ in terms of the elementary fields A^A and c^A and collecting the terms with the same form degree and ghost number.

---

[4] Here, capital Latin indices are denoting gauge indices.

2.2 Yang-Mills gauge fields in the presence of gravity

In order to generalize the horizontality condition (2.10) to the case of Yang-Mills gauge fields in the presence of gravity let us first specify the functional space the BRST operator s acts upon. The latter is chosen to be the space of local polynomials which depend on the 1-forms $(e^a, \omega^a{}_b, A^A)$, where e^a, $\omega^a{}_b$, and A^A being respectively the vielbein, the Lorentz connection, and the Yang-Mills gauge field

$$\begin{aligned} e^a &= e^a_\mu dx^\mu \ , \\ \omega^a{}_b &= \omega^a{}_{b\mu} dx^\mu \ , \\ A^A &= A^A_\mu dx^\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

and on the 2-forms $(T^a, R^a{}_b, F^A)$, whereby T^a, $R^a{}_b$, and F^A denoting the torsion, the Riemann tensor, and the Yang-Mills field strength

$$\begin{aligned} T^a &= \frac{1}{2} T^a_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = de^a + \omega^a{}_b e^b = De^a \ , \\ R^a{}_b &= \frac{1}{2} R^a{}_{b\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = d\omega^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_c \omega^c{}_b \ , \\ F^A &= \frac{1}{2} F^A_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = dA^A + \frac{1}{2} f^{ABC} A^B A^C \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

with the covariant derivative

$$D = d + \omega + A \ . \tag{2.13}$$

The tangent space indices $(a, b, c, ...)$ in eqs.(2.11) and (2.12) are referred to the group $SO(N)$, N being the dimension of the Euclidean spacetime.

Applying the exterior derivative d to both sides of eq.(2.12) one gets the Bianchi identities

$$\begin{aligned} DT^a &= dT^a + \omega^a{}_b T^b = R^a{}_b e^b \ , \\ DR^a{}_b &= dR^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_c R^c{}_b - \omega^c{}_b R^a{}_c = 0 \ , \\ DF^A &= dF^A + f^{ABC} A^B F^C = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

To write down the gravitational Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions for this case one introduces a further ghost, as done in [5, 7], the local translation ghost η^a having ghost number one and a tangent space index. As explained in [7], the field η^a represents the ghost of local translations in the tangent space. See also the discussion of [27] based on an affine approach to gravity.

The local translation ghost η^a can be related [7] to the ghost of local diffeomorphism ξ^μ by the relation

$$\xi^\mu = E^\mu_a \eta^a \quad , \quad \eta^a = \xi^\mu e^a_\mu \ , \tag{2.15}$$

where E^μ_a denotes the inverse of the vielbein e^a_μ, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} e^a_\mu E^\mu_b &= \delta^a_b \ , \\ e^a_\mu E^\nu_a &= \delta^\nu_\mu \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Proceeding now as for the pure Yang-Mills case, one defines the nilpotent differential operator $\widetilde{d}$ of degree one:

$$\widetilde{d} = d - s \ , \tag{2.17}$$

and the generalized vielbein-ghost field $\tilde{e}^a$, the extended Lorentz connection $\widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b$, and the generalized non-abelean Yang-Mills gauge field $\widetilde{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}^a &= e^a + \eta^a \ , \\ \widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b &= \widehat{\omega}^a{}_b + \theta^a{}_b \ , \\ \widetilde{A}^A &= \widehat{A}^A + c^A \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where $\widehat{\omega}^a{}_b$ and $\widehat{A}^A$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\omega}^a{}_b &= \omega^a{}_{bm}\tilde{e}^m = \omega^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_{bm}\eta^m \ , \\ \widehat{A}^A &= A^A_m\tilde{e}^m = A^A + A^A_m\eta^m \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

with the 0-forms $\omega^a{}_{bm}$[5] and A^A_m defined by the expansion of the 0-form connection $\omega^a{}_{b\mu}$ and the 0-form Yang-Mills gauge field A^A_μ in terms of the vielbein e^a_μ, i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^a{}_{b\mu} &= \omega^a{}_{bm}e^m_\mu \ , \\ A^A_\mu &= A^A_m e^m_\mu \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

As it is well-known, the last formulas stem from the fact that the vielbein formalism allows to transform locally the spacetime indices of an arbitrary tensor $\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\ldots}$ into flat tangent space indices $\mathcal{N}_{abcd\ldots}$ by means of the expansion

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\ldots} = \mathcal{N}_{abcd\ldots}e^a_\mu e^b_\nu e^c_\rho e^d_\sigma\ldots \ . \tag{2.21}$$

Vice versa one has

$$\mathcal{N}_{abcd\ldots} = \mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\ldots}E^\mu_a E^\nu_b E^\rho_c E^\sigma_d\ldots \ . \tag{2.22}$$

According to eqs.(2.12), the generalized torsion field, the generalized Riemann tensor, and the generalized Yang-Mills field strength are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{T}^a &= \widetilde{d}\tilde{e}^a + \widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b\tilde{e}^b = \widetilde{D}\tilde{e}^a \ , \\ \widetilde{R}^a{}_b &= \widetilde{d}\widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b + \widetilde{\omega}^a{}_c\widetilde{\omega}^c{}_b \ , \\ \widetilde{F}^A &= \widetilde{d}\widetilde{A}^A + \frac{1}{2}f^{ABC}\widetilde{A}^B\widetilde{A}^C \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

and are easily seen to obey the generalized Bianchi identities

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}\widetilde{T}^a &= \widetilde{d}\widetilde{T}^a + \widetilde{\omega}^a{}_b\widetilde{T}^b = \widetilde{R}^a{}_b\tilde{e}^b \ , \\ \widetilde{D}\widetilde{R}^a{}_b &= \widetilde{d}\widetilde{R}^a{}_b + \widetilde{\omega}^a{}_c\widetilde{R}^c{}_b - \widetilde{\omega}^c{}_b\widetilde{R}^a{}_c = 0 \ , \\ \widetilde{D}\widetilde{F}^A &= \widetilde{d}\widetilde{F}^A + f^{ABC}\widetilde{A}^B\widetilde{F}^C = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

with

$$\widetilde{D} = \widetilde{d} + \widetilde{\omega} + \widetilde{A} \tag{2.25}$$

---

[5] Remark that the 0-form $\omega^a{}_{bm}$ does not possess any symmetric or antisymmetric property with respect to the lower indices (bm).

the generalized covariant derivative.

With these definitions the Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions for the Yang-Mills gauge fields in the presence of gravity (with non-vanishing torsion) may be expressed in the following way: $\tilde{e}$ *and all its generalized covariant exterior differentials can be expanded over* $\tilde{e}$ *with classical coefficients*,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}^a &= \delta^a_b \tilde{e}^b \equiv horizontal \ , && (2.26)\\
\widetilde{T}^a(\tilde{e},\widetilde{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{2} T^a_{mn}(e,\omega)\tilde{e}^m\tilde{e}^n \equiv horizontal \ , && (2.27)\\
\widetilde{R}^a{}_b(\widetilde{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{2} R^a{}_{bmn}(\omega)\tilde{e}^m\tilde{e}^n \equiv horizontal \ , && (2.28)\\
\widetilde{F}^A(\widetilde{A}) &= \frac{1}{2} F^A_{mn}(A)\tilde{e}^m\tilde{e}^n \equiv horizontal \ . && (2.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Through eq.(2.21), the 0-forms T^a_{mn}, $R^a{}_{bmn}$, and F^A_{mn} are defined by the vielbein expansion of the 2-forms of the torsion, the Riemann tensor, and the Yang-Mills field strength of eq.(2.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
T^a &= \frac{1}{2} T^a_{mn} e^m e^n \ , \\
R^a{}_b &= \frac{1}{2} R^a{}_{bmn} e^m e^n \ , \\
F^A &= \frac{1}{2} F^A_{mn} e^m e^n \ , && (2.30)
\end{aligned}$$

and the 0-form D_m of the covariant exterior derivative D is given by

$$D = e^m D_m \ . \qquad (2.31)$$

Notice also that eqs.(2.19) are nothing but the horizontality conditions for the Lorentz connection and the Yang-Mills gauge field expressing the fact that $\widehat{\omega}$ and $\widehat{A}$ themselfs can be expanded over $\tilde{e}$.

Eqs.(2.26)-(2.29) define the Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions for the Yang-Mills gauge fields in the presence of gravity and, when expanded in terms of the elementary fields $(e^a, \omega^a{}_b, A^A, \eta^a, \theta^a{}_b, c^A)$, give the nilpotent BRST transformations corresponding to the diffeomorphism transformations, the local Lorentz rotations, and the gauge transformations.

For a better understanding of this point let us discuss in details the horizontality condition (2.27) for the torsion. Making use of eqs.(2.17), (2.18), (2.19) and of the definition (2.23), one verifies that eq.(2.27) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& de^a - se^a + d\eta^a - s\eta^a + \omega^a{}_b e^b + \theta^a{}_b e^b \\
& + \omega^a{}_b \eta^b + \theta^a{}_b \eta^b + \omega^a{}_{bm}\eta^m e^b + \omega^a{}_{bm}\eta^m\eta^b \\
& = \frac{1}{2} T^a_{mn} e^m e^n + T^a_{mn} e^m \eta^n + \frac{1}{2} T^a_{mn}\eta^m\eta^n \ , \qquad (2.32)
\end{aligned}$$

from which, collecting the terms with the same form degree and ghost number, one easily obtains the BRST transformations for the vielbein e^a and for the ghost η^a:

$$se^a = d\eta^a + \omega^a{}_b \eta^b + \theta^a{}_b e^b + \omega^a{}_{bm}\eta^m e^b - T^a_{mn} e^m \eta^n \ ,$$

$$s\eta^a = \theta^a{}_b\eta^b + \omega^a{}_{bm}\eta^m\eta^b - \frac{1}{2}T^a_{mn}\eta^m\eta^n \ . \tag{2.33}$$

These equations, when rewritten in terms of the variable ξ^μ of eq.(2.15), take the more familiar form

$$\begin{aligned} se^a_\mu &= \theta^a{}_b e^b_\mu + \mathcal{L}_\xi e^a_\mu \ , \\ s\xi^\mu &= -\xi^\lambda\partial_\lambda\xi^\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ denotes the ordinary Lie derivative along the direction ξ^μ, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi e^a_\mu = -\xi^\lambda\partial_\lambda e^a_\mu - (\partial_\mu\xi^\lambda)e^a_\lambda \ . \tag{2.35}$$

It is apparent now that eq.(2.33) represents the tangent space formulation of the usual BRST transformations corresponding to local Lorentz rotations and diffeomorphisms.

One sees then that the Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions (2.26)-(2.29) together with eq.(2.23) carry in a very simple and compact way all the information relative to the gravitational Yang-Mills gauge algebra. It is easy indeed to expand eqs.(2.26)-(2.29) in terms of e^a and η^a and work out the BRST transformations of the remaining fields $(\omega^a{}_b, A^A, T^a, R^a{}_b, F^A, \theta^a{}_b, c^A)$.

However, in view of the fact that we will use as fundamental variables the 0-forms $(\omega^a{}_{bm}, A^A_m, T^a_{mn}, R^a{}_{bmn}, F^A_{mn})$ rather than the 1-forms $\omega^a{}_b$ and A^A and the 2-forms T^a, $R^a{}_b$, and F^A let us proceed by introducing the partial derivative ∂_a with indices in the tangent space. According to the formulas (2.21) and (2.22), the latter is defined by

$$\partial_a \equiv E^\mu_a\partial_\mu \ , \tag{2.36}$$

and

$$\partial_\mu = e^a_\mu\partial_a \ , \tag{2.37}$$

so that the intrinsic exterior differential d becomes

$$d = dx^\mu\partial_\mu = e^a\partial_a \ . \tag{2.38}$$

Let us emphasize that the introduction of the operator ∂_a and the use of the 0-forms $(\omega^a{}_{bm}, A^A_m, T^a_{mn}, R^a{}_{bmn}, F^A_{mn})$ allows for a complete tangent space formulation of the gravitational Yang-Mills gauge algebra. This step, as we shall see later, turns out to be very useful in the analysis of the corresponding BRST cohomology. Moreover, as one can easily understand, the knowledge of the BRST transformations of the 0-form sector $(\omega^a{}_{bm}, A^A_m, T^a_{mn}, R^a{}_{bmn}, F^A_{mn})$ together with the expansions (2.20), (2.30) and the equation (2.33) completely characterize the transformation law of the forms $(\omega^a{}_b, A^A, T^a, R^a{}_b, F^A)$.

We remark however that, contrary to the case of the usual spacetime derivative ∂_μ, the operator ∂_a does not commute with the BRST operator due to the explicit presence of the vielbein e^a. One has:

$$[s, \partial_m] = (\partial_m\eta^k - \theta^k{}_m - T^k_{mn}\eta^n - \omega^k{}_{mn}\eta^n + \omega^k{}_{nm}\eta^n)\partial_k \ , \tag{2.39}$$

and

$$[\partial_m, \partial_n] = -(T^k_{mn} + \omega^k{}_{mn} - \omega^k{}_{nm})\partial_k \ . \tag{2.40}$$

Nevertheless, taking into account the vielbein transformation (2.33), one consistently verifies that

$$\{s, d\} = 0 \ , \quad d^2 = 0 \ . \tag{2.41}$$

2.3 BRST transformations and Bianchi identities

Let us finish this chapter by giving, for the convenience of the reader, the BRST transformations and the Bianchi identities which one can find by using the Maurer-Cartan horizontality conditions (2.26)-(2.29) and from eqs.(2.23), (2.24) for each form sector and ghost number.

- **Form sector 2** $(T^a, R^a{}_b, F^A)$

$$\begin{aligned} sT^a &= \theta^a{}_b T^b + \omega^a{}_{bk}\eta^k T^b - R^a{}_b\eta^b \\ &\quad + \omega^a{}_b T^b_{mn} e^m\eta^n - R^a{}_{bmn} e^b e^m \eta^n + (dT^a_{mn}) e^m\eta^n \\ &\quad - T^a_{mn} e^m d\eta^n + T^a_{mn} T^m \eta^n - T^a_{kn}\omega^k{}_m e^m\eta^n \ , \\ sR^a{}_b &= \theta^a{}_c R^c{}_b - \theta^c{}_b R^a{}_c + \omega^a{}_{ck}\eta^k R^c{}_b - \omega^c{}_{bk}\eta^k R^a{}_c \\ &\quad + \omega^a{}_c R^c{}_{bmn} e^m\eta^n - \omega^c{}_b R^a{}_{cmn} e^m \eta^n + (dR^a{}_{bmn}) e^m\eta^n \\ &\quad + R^a{}_{bmn} T^m\eta^n - R^a{}_{bkn}\omega^k{}_m e^m\eta^n - R^a{}_{bmn} e^m d\eta^n \ , \\ sF^A &= (dF^A_{mn}) e^m\eta^n + F^A_{mn} T^m\eta^n - F^A_{mn}\omega^m{}_k e^k\eta^n - F^A_{mn} e^m d\eta^n \\ &\quad + f^{ABC} c^B F^C + f^{ABC} A^B_m \eta^m F^C + f^{ABC} A^B F^C_{mn} e^m\eta^n \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

For the Bianchi identities one has

$$\begin{aligned} & dT^a + \omega^a{}_b T^b = R^a{}_b e^b \ , \\ & dR^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_c R^c{}_b - \omega^c{}_b R^a{}_c = 0 \ , \\ & dF^A + f^{ABC} A^B F^C = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

- **Form sector 1** $(e^a, \omega^a{}_b, A^A)$

$$\begin{aligned} se^a &= d\eta^a + \omega^a{}_b\eta^b + \theta^a{}_b e^b + \omega^a{}_{bm}\eta^m e^b - T^a_{mn} e^m\eta^n \ , \\ s\omega^a{}_b &= d\theta^a{}_b + \theta^a{}_c\omega^c{}_b + \omega^a{}_c\theta^c{}_b + (d\omega^a{}_{bm})\eta^m + \omega^a{}_{bm} d\eta^m \\ &\quad + \omega^a{}_c\omega^c{}_{bm}\eta^m + \omega^a{}_{cm}\eta^m\omega^c{}_b - R^a{}_{bmn} e^m\eta^n \ , \\ sA^A &= dc^A + (dA^A_m)\eta^m + A^A_m d\eta^m + f^{ABC} A^B c^C \\ &\quad + f^{ABC} A^B A^C_m \eta^m - F^A_{mn} e^m\eta^n \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

- **Form sector 0, ghost number 0** $(\omega^a{}_{bm}, A^A_m, T^a_{mn}, R^a{}_{bmn}, F^A_{mn})$

$$\begin{aligned} s\omega^a{}_{bm} &= -\partial_m\theta^a{}_b + \theta^a{}_c\omega^c{}_{bm} - \theta^c{}_b\omega^a{}_{cm} - \theta^k{}_m\omega^a{}_{bk} - \eta^k\partial_k\omega^a{}_{bm} \ , \\ sA^A_m &= -\partial_m c^A - f^{ABC} A^B_m c^C - \theta^k{}_m A^A_k - \eta^k\partial_k A^A_m \ , \\ sT^a_{mn} &= \theta^a{}_k T^k_{mn} - \theta^k{}_m T^a_{kn} - \theta^k{}_n T^a_{mk} - \eta^k\partial_k T^a_{mn} \ , \\ sR^a{}_{bmn} &= \theta^a{}_c R^c{}_{bmn} - \theta^c{}_b R^a{}_{cmn} - \theta^k{}_m R^a{}_{bkn} - \theta^k{}_n R^a{}_{bmk} - \eta^k\partial_k R^a{}_{bmn} \ , \\ sF^A_{mn} &= f^{ABC} c^B F^C_{mn} - \theta^k{}_m F^A_{kn} - \theta^k{}_n F^A_{mk} - \eta^k\partial_k F^A_{mn} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

The Bianchi identities (2.43) are projected on the 0-form torsion T^a_{mn}, on the 0-form curvature $R^a{}_{bmn}$, and on the 0-form Yang-Mills field strength F^A_{mn} to give

$$\begin{aligned}
dT^a_{mn} &= (\partial_l T^a_{mn})e^l \\
&= (R^a{}_{lmn} + R^a{}_{mnl} + R^a{}_{nlm} \\
&\quad - \omega^a{}_{bl} T^b_{mn} - \omega^a{}_{bm} T^b_{nl} - \omega^a{}_{bn} T^b_{lm} \\
&\quad + T^a_{kn} T^k_{ml} + T^a_{km} T^k_{ln} + T^a_{kl} T^k_{nm} \\
&\quad - T^a_{kn} \omega^k{}_{lm} - T^a_{km} \omega^k{}_{nl} - T^a_{kl} \omega^k{}_{mn} \\
&\quad + T^a_{kn} \omega^k{}_{ml} + T^a_{kl} \omega^k{}_{nm} + T^a_{km} \omega^k{}_{ln} \\
&\quad - \partial_m T^a_{nl} - \partial_n T^a_{lm})e^l \ , \\
dR^a{}_{bmn} &= (\partial_l R^a{}_{bmn})e^l \\
&= (-\omega^a{}_{cl} R^c{}_{bmn} - \omega^a{}_{cm} R^c{}_{bnl} - \omega^a{}_{cn} R^c{}_{blm} \\
&\quad + \omega^c{}_{bl} R^a{}_{cmn} + \omega^c{}_{bm} R^a{}_{cnl} + \omega^c{}_{bn} R^a{}_{clm} \\
&\quad + R^a{}_{bkn} T^k_{ml} + R^a{}_{bkm} T^k_{ln} + R^a{}_{bkl} T^k_{nm} \\
&\quad - R^a{}_{bkn} \omega^k{}_{lm} - R^a{}_{bkm} \omega^k{}_{nl} - R^a{}_{bkl} \omega^k{}_{mn} \\
&\quad + R^a{}_{bkn} \omega^k{}_{ml} + R^a{}_{bkl} \omega^k{}_{nm} + R^a{}_{bkm} \omega^k{}_{ln} \\
&\quad - \partial_m R^a{}_{bnl} - \partial_n R^a{}_{blm})e^l \ , \\
dF^A_{mn} &= (\partial_l F^A_{mn})e^l \\
&= (f^{ABC} F^B_{mn} A^C_l + f^{ABC} F^B_{nl} A^C_m + f^{ABC} F^B_{lm} A^C_n \\
&\quad - F^A_{kn} T^k_{lm} - F^A_{kl} T^k_{mn} - F^A_{km} T^k_{nl} \\
&\quad + F^A_{kn} \omega^k{}_{ml} + F^A_{km} \omega^k{}_{ln} + F^A_{kl} \omega^k{}_{nm} \\
&\quad - F^A_{kn} \omega^k{}_{lm} - F^A_{kl} \omega^k{}_{mn} - F^A_{km} \omega^k{}_{nl} \\
&\quad - \partial_m F^A_{nl} - \partial_n F^A_{lm})e^l \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

One has also the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
d\omega^a{}_{bm} &= (\partial_n \omega^a{}_{bm})e^n \\
&= (-R^a{}_{bmn} + \omega^a{}_{cm}\omega^c{}_{bn} - \omega^a{}_{cn}\omega^c{}_{bm} \\
&\quad + \omega^a{}_{bk} T^k_{mn} - \omega^a{}_{bk}\omega^k{}_{nm} + \omega^a{}_{bk}\omega^k{}_{mn} + \partial_m \omega^a{}_{bn})e^n \ , \\
dA^A_m &= (\partial_n A^A_m)e^n \\
&= (-F^A_{mn} + f^{ABC} A^B_m A^C_n + A^A_k T^k_{mn} \\
&\quad - A^A_k \omega^k{}_{nm} + A^A_k \omega^k{}_{mn} + \partial_m A^A_n)e^n \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

- **Form sector 0, ghost number 1** $(\eta^a, \theta^a{}_b, c^A)$

$$\begin{aligned}
s\eta^a &= \omega^a{}_{bm}\eta^m\eta^b + \theta^a{}_b\eta^b - \frac{1}{2}T^a_{mn}\eta^m\eta^n \ , \\
s\theta^a{}_b &= \theta^a{}_c\theta^c{}_b - \eta^k\partial_k\theta^a{}_b \ , \\
sc^A &= \frac{1}{2}f^{ABC}c^Bc^C - \eta^k\partial_k c^A \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

- **Algebra between s and d**

From the above transformations it follows:

$$s^2 = 0 \ , \quad d^2 = 0 \ , \tag{2.49}$$

and

$$\{s, d\} = 0 \ . \tag{2.50}$$

Let us conclude this section by making a remark about the use of the variable η^a. Observe that, when expressed in terms of η^a, the BRST transformation of the vielbein e^a in (2.44) starts with a term linear in the fields (i.e. the term $d\eta^a$). This feature makes the analogy between gravitational and gauge theories more transparent. Moreover, it suggests that one may compute the local cohomology of the gravitational BRST operator without expanding the vielbein e^a around a background, as shown in [3, 13].

3 Decomposition of the exterior derivative

In this section we introduce the decomposition (1.6) and we show how it can be used to solve the ladder (1.5). To this purpose we introduce the operator δ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\eta^a &= -e^a \ , \\ \delta\phi &= 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \phi = (e, \omega, A, T, R, F, \theta, c) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

It is easy to verify that δ is of degree zero and that, together with the BRST operator s, it obeys the following algebraic relations:

$$[s, \delta] = -d \ , \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$[d, \delta] = 0 \ . \tag{3.3}$$

One sees from eq.(3.2) that the operator δ allows to decompose the exterior derivative d as a BRST commutator. This property, as shown in [3], gives an elegant and simple procedure for solving the equations (1.5).

Let us consider indeed the tower of descent equations which originates from a local field polynomials Ω^G_N in the variables (e^a, $\omega^a{}_{bm}$, A^A_m, T^a_{mn}, $R^a{}_{bmn}$, F^A_{mn}, η^a, $\theta^a{}_b$, c^A) and their derivatives with ghost number G and form degree N, N being the dimension of the spacetime,

$$\begin{aligned} &s\Omega^G_N + d\Omega^{G+1}_{N-1} = 0 \\ &s\Omega^{G+1}_{N-1} + d\Omega^{G+2}_{N-2} = 0 \\ &\quad\ \ldots\ldots \\ &\quad\ \ldots\ldots \\ &s\Omega^{G+N-1}_1 + d\Omega^{G+N}_0 = 0 \\ &s\Omega^{G+N}_0 = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

with $(\Omega^{G+1}_{N-1},....,\Omega^{G+N-1}_{1},\Omega^{G+N}_{0})$ local polynomials which, without loss of generality, will be always considered as irreducible elements, i.e. they cannot be expressed as the product of several factorized terms. In particular, the ghost numbers $G=(0,1)$ correspond respectively to an invariant Lagrangian and to an anomaly.

Thanks to the operator δ and to the algebraic relations (3.2)-(3.3), in order to find a solution of the ladder (3.4) it is sufficient to solve only the last equation for the 0-form Ω^{G+N}_{0}. It is easy to check that, once a non-trivial solution for Ω^{G+N}_{0} is known, the higher cocycles Ω^{G+N-q}_{q}, $(q=1,...,N)$ are obtained by repeated applications of the operator δ on Ω^{G+N}_{0}, i.e.

$$\Omega^{G+N-q}_{q}=\frac{\delta^q}{q!}\Omega^{G+N}_{0} \quad , \quad q=1,...,N \quad , \quad G=(0,1)\ . \tag{3.5}$$

Let us emphasize also that solving the last equation of the tower (3.4) is a problem of *local* BRST cohomology instead of a modulo-d one. One sees then, by means of the decomposition (3.2), that the study of the cohomology of s modulo d is reduced to the study of the local cohomology of s. It is well-known indeed that, once a particular solution of the descent equations (3.4) has been obtained, i.e. eq.(3.5), the search of the most general solution becomes essentially a problem of local BRST cohomology.

Having discussed the role of the operator δ in finding explicit solutions of the descent equations (3.4), let us turn now to the study of its geometrical meaning. As we shall see, this operator turns out to possess a quite simple geometrical interpretation which will reveal an unexpected and so far unnoticed elementary structure of the ladder (3.4).

Let us begin by observing that all the cocycles Ω^{G+N-p}_{p} $(p=0,...,N)$ entering the descent equations (3.4) are of the same degree (i.e. $(G+N)$), the latter being given by the sum of the ghost number and of the form degree.

We can collect then, following [20], all the Ω^{G+N-p}_{p} into a unique cocycle $\widehat{\Omega}$ of degree $(G+N)$ defined as

$$\widehat{\Omega}=\sum_{p=0}^{N}\Omega^{G+N-p}_{p}\ . \tag{3.6}$$

This expression, using eq.(3.5), becomes

$$\widehat{\Omega}=\sum_{p=0}^{N}\frac{\delta^p}{p!}\Omega^{G+N}_{0}\ , \tag{3.7}$$

where the cocycle Ω^{G+N}_{0}, according to its form degree, depends only on the set of 0-form variables $(\eta^a,\theta^a{}_b,c^A,\omega^a{}_{bm},A^A_m,T^a_{mn},R^a{}_{bmn},F^A_{mn})$ and their tangent space derivatives ∂_m. Taking into account that under the action of the operator δ the form degree and the ghost number are respectively raised and lowered by one unit and that in a spacetime of dimension N a $(N+1)$-form identically vanishes, it follows that eq.(3.7) can be rewritten in a more suggestive way as

$$\widehat{\Omega}=e^{\delta}\Omega^{G+N}_{0}(\eta^a,\theta^a{}_b,c^A,\omega^a{}_{bm},A^A_m,T^a_{mn},R^a{}_{bmn},F^A_{mn})\ . \tag{3.8}$$

Let us make now the following elementary but important remark. As one can see from eq.(3.1), the operator δ acts as a translation on the ghost η^a with an amount given by

$(-e^a)$. Therefor e^δ has the simple effect of shifting η^a into $(\eta^a - e^a)$. This implies that the cocycle (3.8) takes the form

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \Omega_0^{G+N}(\eta^a - e^a, \theta^a_{\ b}, c^A, \omega^a_{\ bm}, A^A_m, T^a_{mn}, R^a_{\ bmn}, F^A_{mn}) \ . \tag{3.9}$$

This formula collects in a very elegant and simple expression the solution of the descent equations (3.4).

In particular, it states the important result that:

> *To find a non-trivial solution of the ladder* (3.4) *it is sufficient to replace the variable* η^a *with* $(\eta^a - e^a)$ *in the 0-form cocycle* Ω_0^{G+N} *which belongs to the local cohomology of the BRST operator* s*.*
>
> *The expansion of* $\Omega_0^{G+N}(\eta^a - e^a, \theta^a_{\ b}, c^A, \omega^a_{\ bm}, A^A_m, T^a_{mn}, R^a_{\ bmn}, F^A_{mn})$ *in powers of the 1-form vielbein* e^a *yields then all the searched cocycles* Ω_p^{G+N-p}*.*

Let us conclude by remarking that expression (3.9) represents a deeper understanding of the algebraic properties of the gravitational ladder (3.4) and of the role played by the vielbein e^a and the associated ghost η^a.

4 Chern-Simons terms and gauge anomalies

In this section we will focus on the invariants and anomalies in the Yang-Mills sector. Other examples of non-trivial explicit solutions of the consistency condition (1.1) in the presence of gravity can be found e.g. in [21, 22].

For the sake of clarity and to make contact with the results obtained in [14, 13], let us discuss in detail the construction of the three-dimensional Chern-Simons term. In this case the tower (3.4) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &s\Omega_3^0 + d\Omega_2^1 = 0 \ , \\ &s\Omega_2^1 + d\Omega_1^2 = 0 \ , \\ &s\Omega_1^2 + d\Omega_0^3 = 0 \ , \\ &s\Omega_0^3 = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where, according to eq.(3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1^2 &= \delta\Omega_0^3 \ , \\ \Omega_2^1 &= \frac{\delta^2}{2!}\Omega_0^3 \ , \\ \Omega_3^0 &= \frac{\delta^3}{3!}\Omega_0^3 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

In order to find a solution for Ω_0^3 we use the following redefined ghost variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}^A &= A^A_m \eta^m + c^A \ , \\ \hat{F}^A &= \frac{1}{2} F^A_{mn} \eta^m \eta^n \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

which, from eq.(3.1), transform as

$$\delta\hat{c}^A = -A^A \quad , \quad \delta\hat{F}^A = -F^A_{mn} e^m \eta^n \ . \tag{4.4}$$

For the cocycle Ω^3_0 one then has

$$\Omega^3_0 = \frac{1}{3!} f^{ABC} \hat{c}^A \hat{c}^B \hat{c}^C - \hat{F}^A \hat{c}^A \ , \tag{4.5}$$

from which Ω^2_1, Ω^1_2, and Ω^0_3 are computed to be

$$\Omega^2_1 = -\frac{1}{2} f^{ABC} A^A \hat{c}^B \hat{c}^C + \hat{F}^A A^A + F^A_{mn} e^m \eta^n \hat{c}^A \ , \tag{4.6}$$

$$\Omega^1_2 = \frac{1}{2} f^{ABC} A^A A^B \hat{c}^C - F^A_{mn} e^m \eta^n A^A - F^A \hat{c}^A \ , \tag{4.7}$$

$$\Omega^0_3 = -\frac{1}{6} f^{ABC} A^A A^B A^C + F^A A^A \ . \tag{4.8}$$

In particular, expression (4.8) gives the familiar three-dimensional Chern-Simons term. Finally, let us remark that the cocycle Ω^1_2 of eq.(4.7), when referred to dimension $N = 2$, reduces to the expression

$$\Omega^1_2 = -(dA^A) c^A \quad , \quad for\ N = 2 \ , \tag{4.9}$$

which directly gives the two-dimensional gauge anomaly.

Analogous, for the 0-form cocycle Ω^5_0 in five dimensions one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^5_0 = {} & d^{ABC} \hat{c}^A \hat{F}^B \hat{F}^C - \frac{1}{4} d^{ABC} f^{CKL} \hat{c}^A \hat{F}^B \hat{c}^K \hat{c}^L \\ & + \frac{1}{40} d^{ABC} f^{BMN} f^{CKL} \hat{c}^A \hat{c}^M \hat{c}^N \hat{c}^K \hat{c}^L \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

which leads to the five-dimensional Chern-Simons term

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0_5 = {} & -d^{ABC} A^A F^B F^C + \frac{1}{4} d^{ABC} f^{CKL} A^A F^B A^K A^L \\ & - \frac{1}{40} d^{ABC} f^{BMN} f^{CKL} A^A A^M A^N A^K A^L \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where d^{ABC} is the invariant totally symmetric tensor of rank three

$$d^{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} Tr \left(T^A \left\{ T^B , T^C \right\} \right) \ , \tag{4.12}$$

and T^A are the generators of a finite representation of the gauge group **G**.

The corresponding cocycle Ω^1_4 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^1_4 = {} & -d^{ABC} \hat{c}^A F^B F^C - 2 d^{ABC} A^A F^B F^C_{mn} e^m \eta^n \\ & + \frac{1}{2} d^{ABC} f^{CMN} A^A F^B A^M \hat{c}^N + \frac{1}{4} d^{ABC} f^{CMN} A^A F^B_{mn} e^m \eta^n A^M A^N \\ & + \frac{1}{4} d^{ABC} f^{CMN} \hat{c}^A F^B A^M A^N - \frac{1}{8} d^{ABC} f^{BMN} f^{CKL} \hat{c}^A A^M A^N A^K A^L \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

which, when referred to dimension $N = 4$, reduces to the expression

$$\Omega_4^1 = -d^{ABC}c^AF^BF^C + \frac{1}{2}d^{ABC}f^{CMN}A^AF^BA^Mc^N + \frac{1}{4}d^{ABC}f^{CMN}c^AF^BA^MA^N - \frac{1}{8}d^{ABC}f^{BMN}f^{CKL}c^AA^MA^NA^KA^L \ , \tag{4.14}$$

which represents the four-dimensional gauge anomaly.

Generally, in $(2k-1)$ dimensions one has for the 0-form cocycle Ω_0^{2k-1} (see Appendix B) the formula in matrix notation, i.e. $\hat{c} = \hat{c}^AT^A$ and $\hat{F} = \hat{F}^AT^A$,

$$\Omega_0^{2k-1} = -k\ Tr\int_0^1 dt\ \hat{c}\hat{F}(t)^{k-1} \ , \tag{4.15}$$

where $\hat{F}^A(t)$ is defined as

$$\hat{F}^A(t) = t\hat{F}^A + \frac{1}{2}t(t-1)f^{ABC}\hat{c}^B\hat{c}^C \ . \tag{4.16}$$

According to eq.(3.5) one easily finds the corresponding $(2k-1)$-dimensional Chern-Simons terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{2k-1}^0 &= \frac{\delta^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}\Omega_0^{2k-1} \\ &= k\ Tr\int_0^1 dt\ AF(t)^{k-1} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where $F^A(t)$ is given by

$$F^A(t) = tF^A + \frac{1}{2}t(t-1)f^{ABC}A^BA^C \ . \tag{4.18}$$

Let us make here a remark concerning the cancelation of the terms which contain the local translation ghost η in the cocycles Ω_{2k-2}^1 for the anomalies in $(2k-2)$ dimensions. The gauge anomalies have their cohomological origin in the 0-form cocycles Ω_0^{2k-1} of (4.15), but one has to note that the dimension is now reduced to $(2k-2)$. Taking into account that in a N-dimensional spacetime the product of $(N+1)$ ghost fields η^a automatically vanishes, it is easily seen that Ω_0^{2k-1} can only contain at most $(2k-2)$ local translation ghosts η. Therefore, from the decomposition (3.1) and the solution of the ladder (3.5) follow that the gauge anomalies Ω_{2k-2}^1 cannot contain the local translation ghost η. So, all terms with η in Ω_{2k-2}^1 are identically zero and one can simply drop them.

5 The $\mathcal{G}$-operator

This section is devoted to the analysis of the influence of diffeomorphisms at solving the descent equations (3.4). In the presence of diffeomorphisms one has with (3.3)

$$2\mathcal{G} = [d, \delta] = 0 \ . \tag{5.1}$$

From the decomposition (3.2) follows for the redefined gauge ghost (4.3):

$$\delta\hat{c}^A = -A^A \ . \tag{5.2}$$

By making the limit $\eta \to 0$ one obtains a new decomposition

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0}\delta\hat{c}^A = \bar{\delta}c^A = -A^A \ . \tag{5.3}$$

We remark, that this decomposition implies now a non-vanishing $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$-operator. To see this explicitly let us discuss in detail the decomposition of the 1-form gauge field A^A for the cases with and without diffeomorphisms. In the first case, with the help of eq.(2.44), one has

$$\begin{aligned} -\left[s,\delta\right]A^A &= \delta s A^A = \delta(d\hat{c}^A + f^{ABC}\hat{c}^B A^C - F^A_{mn}e^m\eta^n) \\ &= \delta d\hat{c}^A + 2dA^A = dA^A \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

and one gets

$$\delta d\hat{c}^A = -dA^A = d\delta\hat{c}^A \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{G}\hat{c}^A = 0 \ . \tag{5.5}$$

In the absence of diffeomorphisms, i.e. in the limit $\eta \to 0$, above result holds no more:

$$\begin{aligned} -\left[s,\bar{\delta}\right]A^A &= \bar{\delta}sA^A = \bar{\delta}(dc^A + f^{ABC}c^B A^C) \\ &= \bar{\delta}dc^A - f^{ABC}A^B A^C = dA^A \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

which gives

$$\bar{\delta}dc^A = dA^A + f^{ABC}A^B A^C \neq d\bar{\delta}c^A \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \bar{\mathcal{G}}c^A \neq 0 \ . \tag{5.7}$$

So one can see that the diffeomorphisms carry in some sense the action of the $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$-operator.

6 Conclusion

The algebraic structure of Yang-Mills gauge field theory in the presence of gravity with torsion has been analyzed in the context of the Maurer-Cartan horizontality formalism by introducing an operator δ which allows to decompose the exterior spacetime derivative as a BRST commutator. Such a decomposition gives a simple and elegant way of solving the Wess-Zumino consistency condition corresponding to invariant Lagrangians and anomalies. The same technique can be applied to the characterization of the Weyl anomalies [37].

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank François Gieres, Mauricio W. de Oliveira and Silvio P. Sorella for all the useful discussions and comments.

Appendices

A Chern-Simons formula

To find a general formula for Chern-Simons terms in $(2k-1)$ dimensions one introduces the interpolating 1-form gauge field $A^A(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$ in the following way [11]:

$$A^A(t) = tA^A \ , \tag{A.1}$$

with $A^A(0) = 0$ and $A^A(1) = A^A$. The associated 2-form field strength $F^A(t)$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} F^A(t) &= dA^A(t) + \frac{1}{2} f^{ABC} A^B(t) A^C(t) \\ &= t dA^A + \frac{1}{2} t^2 f^{ABC} A^B A^C \\ &= tF^A + \frac{1}{2} t(t-1) f^{ABC} A^B A^C \ , \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

with $F^A(0) = 0$ and $F^A(1) = F^A$. For simplicity one can define a covariant derivative D_t with respect to $A(t)$ according to

$$D_t = d + A(t) \ . \tag{A.3}$$

With the help of this covariant derivative one easily finds the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF^A(t)}{dt} &= dA^A + t f^{ABC} A^B A^C \ , \\ D_t A^A &= dA^A + f^{ABC} A^B(t) A^C \\ &= dA^A + t f^{ABC} A^B A^C = \frac{dF^A(t)}{dt} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

and the Bianchi identity

$$D_t F^A(t) = dF^A(t) + f^{ABC} A^B(t) F^C = 0 \ . \tag{A.5}$$

We are now able to derive the Chern-Simons formula by starting with the corresponding Chern character in dimension $2k$ and using above identities:

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(F^k) &= Tr \int_0^1 dt \frac{d}{dt} F(t)^k \\ &= k\ Tr \int_0^1 dt \left(\frac{dF(t)}{dt} \right) F(t)^{k-1} \\ &= k\ Tr \int_0^1 dt D_t \left(AF(t)^{k-1} \right) \\ &= kd\ Tr \int_0^1 dt\ AF(t)^{k-1} \\ &= d\Omega^0_{2k-1} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where the Chern-Simons term in $(2k-1)$ dimensions is now given by

$$\Omega^0_{2k-1} = k\ Tr \int\limits_0^1 dt\ AF(t)^{k-1}\ . \tag{A.7}$$

B 0-form cocycles for Chern-Simons terms and for gauge anomalies

In this appendix we derive first the general 0-form cocycle Ω^{2k-1}_0 (4.15) in $(2k-1)$ dimensions, which leads by applying the operator δ to the Chern-Simons formula (A.7). In a second step we show that this cocycle is BRST-invariant and non-trivial, i.e. that Ω^{2k-1}_0 identifies a cohomology class of the BRST operator.

Since the decomposition δ (3.1) acts exclusively on the local translation ghost η^a one has only to substitute the forms in (A.1) and (A.2) by the corresponding ghost fields. Taking into account (4.3) and (4.4), we make the following substitutions:

$$\begin{aligned} A^A &\longrightarrow -\hat{c}^A\ , \\ F^A &\longrightarrow \hat{F}^A\ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

The associated interpolated ghost field strength $\hat{F}^A(t)$ is given by

$$\hat{F}^A(t) = t\hat{F}^A + \frac{1}{2}t(t-1)f^{ABC}\hat{c}^B\hat{c}^C\ . \tag{B.2}$$

One can now conclude from (A.7) to the 0-form cocycle Ω^{2k-1}_0 in $(2k-1)$ dimensions according to

$$\Omega^{2k-1}_0 = -k\ Tr \int\limits_0^1 dt\ \hat{c}\hat{F}(t)^{k-1}\ . \tag{B.3}$$

With the help of eq.(3.5) one easily regains the corresponding $(2k-1)$-dimensional Chern-Simons term:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0_{2k-1} &= \frac{\delta^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}\Omega^{2k-1}_0 \\ &= k\ Tr \int\limits_0^1 dt\ AF(t)^{k-1}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

To prove the BRST invariance of (B.3) we recall the BRST transformations of $\hat{c}^A$ and $\hat{F}^A$:

$$\begin{aligned} s\hat{c}^A &= \frac{1}{2}f^{ABC}\hat{c}^B\hat{c}^C - \hat{F}^A\ , \\ s\hat{F}^A &= f^{ABC}\hat{c}^B\hat{F}^C\ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

or in matrix notation

$$\begin{aligned} s\hat{c} &= \hat{c}\hat{c} - \hat{F} \ , \\ s\hat{F} &= \left[\hat{c}, \hat{F}\right] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

with $\hat{c} = \hat{c}^A T^A$ and $\hat{F} = \hat{F}^A T^A$, whereby T^A are the generators of the gauge group. Moreover, eq.(B.2) can be expressed in a way as below:

$$\hat{F}(t) = t^2 \hat{c}\hat{c} - ts\hat{c} \ . \tag{B.7}$$

Analogous to (A.3) we define an interpolating covariant BRST operator S_t according to

$$S_t = s - \hat{c}(t) \quad , \quad \hat{c}(t) = t\hat{c} \ , \tag{B.8}$$

which obeys the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} & S_t \hat{F}(t) = 0 \ , \\ & S_t \hat{c} = s\hat{c} - 2t\hat{c}\hat{c} = -\frac{d}{dt}\hat{F}(t) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

Finally, by using above relations one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} s\Omega_0^{2k-1} &= -k \ Tr \int\limits_0^1 dt \ s\left(\hat{c}\hat{F}(t)^{k-1}\right) \\ &= -k \ Tr \int\limits_0^1 dt \ \left[S_t\left(\hat{c}\hat{F}(t)^{k-1}\right) + \left\{\hat{c}(t), \hat{c}\hat{F}(t)^{k-1}\right\}\right] \\ &= k \ Tr \int\limits_0^1 dt \ \left[\frac{d\hat{F}(t)}{dt}\hat{F}(t)^{k-1}\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

We remark that the second term in the second line of (B.10) is identically equal to zero. The BRST transformation of Ω_0^{2k-1} is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} s\Omega_0^{2k-1} &= \int\limits_0^1 dt \ \frac{d}{dt} Tr\left(\hat{F}^k(t)\right) \\ &= Tr\left(\hat{F}^k(t)\right)\Big|_0^1 \\ &= Tr\hat{F}^k \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

From the definition (4.3) and taking into account that in an N-dimensional spacetime the product of $(N+1)$ ghost fields η automatically vanishes, it follows that the 0-form cocycle Ω_0^{2k-1} given by (B.3) is BRST-invariant:

$$s\Omega_0^{2k-1} = \frac{1}{2^k} Tr\left(F_{m_1n_1}F_{m_2n_2}.....F_{m_kn_k}\eta^{m_1}\eta^{n_1}\eta^{m_2}\eta^{n_2}.....\eta^{m_k}\eta^{n_k}\right) = 0 \ . \tag{B.12}$$

To finish the proof that Ω_0^{2k-1} belongs to a cohomology class of the BRST operator one has also to show that it is a non-trivial solution, i.e.

$$s\Omega_0^{2k-1} = 0 \quad , \quad \Omega_0^{2k-1} \neq s\hat{\Omega}_0^{2k-2} \ . \tag{B.13}$$

For this reason we define a filtering operator $\mathcal{N}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{N} = \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial c} + \omega\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega} + T\frac{\partial}{\partial T} + R\frac{\partial}{\partial R} + F\frac{\partial}{\partial F} \ , \tag{B.14}$$

which induces a separation on the BRST transformations (2.45) and (2.48) of the elementary 0-form fields according to

$$\begin{aligned}
s^{(0)}\eta^a &= 0 \ , \\
s^{(0)}\theta^a{}_b &= -\eta^k\partial_k\theta^a{}_b \ , \\
s^{(0)}c^A &= -\eta^k\partial_k c^A \ , \\
s^{(0)}\omega^a{}_{bm} &= -\partial_m\theta^a{}_b - \eta^k\partial_k\omega^a{}_{bm} \ , \\
s^{(0)}A^A_m &= -\eta^k\partial_k A^A_m \ , \\
s^{(0)}T^a_{mn} &= -\eta^k\partial_k T^a_{mn} \ , \\
s^{(0)}R^a{}_{bmn} &= -\eta^k\partial_k R^a{}_{bmn} \ , \\
s^{(0)}F^A_{mn} &= -\eta^k\partial_k F^A_{mn} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

The general 0-form cocycle Ω_0^{2k-1} can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_0^{2k-1} &= -k \ Tr\int_0^1 dt \ \left\{\hat{c}\left(t\hat{F} + t(t-1)\hat{c}\hat{c}\right)^{k-1}\right\} \\
&= -k \ Tr\int_0^1 dt \ t^{k-1}\left\{(t-1)^{k-1}\hat{c}^{2k-1} + \ .....\right\} \\
&= -k\int_0^1 dt \ \Delta(t) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

The filtration (B.14) induces now a separation on $\Delta(t)$

$$\Delta(t) = \Delta^{(0)}(t) + \sum_{p\geq 1}^{\bar{p}}\Delta^{(p)}(t) \ , \tag{B.17}$$

where $\Delta^{(0)}(t)$ is given by

$$\Delta^{(0)}(t) = \alpha(t)\Delta^{(0)} = \alpha(t)Tr\left(A_m\eta^m\right)^{2k-1} \ . \tag{B.18}$$

A possible triviality of Ω_0^{2k-1} would imply at the level of the integrands

$$\Delta^{(0)} = s^{(0)}\hat{\Delta}^{(0)} = s^{(0)}Tr\left(A_m\eta^m\right)^{2k-2} \ , \tag{B.19}$$

but from the fith eq. of (B.15) follows that above equation has no solution. Therefore the 0-form cocycle Ω_0^{2k-1} is a non-trivial solution of the BRST cohomology

$$\Omega_0^{2k-1} \neq s\hat{\Omega}_0^{2k-2} \ , \tag{B.20}$$

because of the fact that the cohomology of s is isomorphic to a subspace of the cohomology of $s^{(0)}$ [19, 38].

References

[1] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Lett.* **B37** (1971) 95;

[2] L. Bonora and P. Cotta-Ramusino, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **87** (1983) 589;

[3] F. Brandt, N. Dragon and M. Kreuzer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B332** (1990) 224;

[4] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B234** (1983) 269;

[5] L. Baulieu, *Nucl. Phys.* **B241** (1984) 557;
L. Baulieu and J. Thierry-Mieg, *Phys. Lett.* **B145** (1984) 53;

[6] M. Dubois-Violette, M. Talon and C.M. Viallet, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 102 (1985) 105;
Phys. Lett. **B158** (1985) 231;
Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **44** (1986) 103;
M. Dubois-Violette, M. Henneaux, M. Talon and C.M. Viallet, *Phys. Lett.* B267 (1991) 81;
M. Henneaux, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **140** (1991) 1;
M. Dubois-Violette, M. Henneaux, M. Talon and C.M. Viallet, *Phys. Lett.* B289 (1992) 361;

[7] J. Thierry-Mieg, *J. Math. Phys.* **21** (1980) 2834;
Nuovo Cim. **56A** (1980) 396;
Phys. Lett. **B147** (1984) 430;
J. Thierry-Mieg, *Classical geometrical interpretation of ghost fields and anomalies in Yang-Mills theory and quantum gravity*, Symposium on Anomalies, Geometry, Topology, pag. 239, edited by W. A. Bardeen and A. R. White, World Scientific, 1985;

[8] G. Bandelloni, *Nuovo Cim.* **88A** (1985) 1;
Nuovo Cim. **88A** (1985) 31;

[9] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and P. Ginsparg, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **161** (1985) 423;

[10] L. Bonora, P. Pasti and M. Tonin, *J. Math. Phys.* **27** (1986) 2259;

[11] F. Langouche, T. Schucker and R. Stora, *Phys. Lett.* **B145** (1984) 342;
R. Stora, *Algebraic structure of chiral anomalies*; lecture given at the GIFT seminar, 1-8 june 1985, Jaca, Spain; preprint LAPP-TH-143;
J. Manes, R. Stora and B. Zumino, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **102** (1985) 157;
T. Schucker, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **109** (1987) 167;

[12] F. Brandt, N. Dragon and M. Kreuzer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990) 187;

[13] F. Brandt, *Structure of BRS-Invariant Local Functionals*, Ref. NIKHEF-H 93-21;

[14] S. P. Sorella, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **157** (1993) 231;

[15] E. Guadagnini, N. Maggiore and S. P. Sorella, *Phys. Lett.* **B255** (1991) 65;
C. Lucchesi, O. Piguet and S. P. Sorella, *Nucl. Phys.* **B395** (1993) 325;

[16] D. Birmingham and M. Rakowski, *Phys. Lett.* **B269** (1991) 103;
Phys. Lett. **B272** (1991) 217;
Phys. Lett. **B275** (1992) 289;
Phys. Lett. **B289** (1992) 271;

[17] M. Werneck de Oliveira, M. Schweda and S. P. Sorella, *Phys. Lett.* B315 (1993) 93;

[18] A. Brandhuber, O. Moritsch, M. W. de Oliveira, O. Piguet and M. Schweda, *A Renormalized Supersymmetry in the Topological Yang-Mills Field Theory*, Ref. TUW 94-10 and UGVA-DPT 1994/07-858;

[19] J. A. Dixon, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **139** (1991) 495;

[20] S. P. Sorella and L. Tataru, *Phys. Lett.* **B324** (1994) 351;

[21] O. Moritsch, M. Schweda and S. P. Sorella, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** (1994) 1225;

[22] O. Moritsch and M. Schweda, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **67** (1994) 289;

[23] M. Werneck de Oliveira and S. P. Sorella, *Algebraic structure of Lorentz and diffeomorphism anomalies*, Ref. Sissa 24/93/ep, to appear in *Int. Journ. Mod. Phys.*;

[24] S. Okubo, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* 23 (1991) 599;
Thienel, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* 25 (1993) 483;

[25] C. Y. Lee and Y. Ne'eman, *Phys. Lett.* B233 (1989) 286;

[26] L. Baulieu, *Phys. Reports (Review Section of Phys. Letters)* **129** (1985) 1-74;

[27] E. Mielke, J. Dermott McCrea, Y. Ne'eman and F. Hehl, *Phys. Rev.* D48 (1993) 673;

[28] P. Baekler, E. W. Mielke and F. W. Hehl, *Nuovo Cimento* Vol. 107B (1992) 91;
F. W. Hehl, W. Kopczynski, J. D. McCrea and E. W. Mielke, *Journ. Math. Phys.* 32 (1991) 2169;

[29] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48 (1982) 975;
Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 140 (1982) 372;

[30] W. Kummer and D. J. Schwarz, *Phys. Rev.* **D10** (1992) 3628;

[31] E. Sezgin and P. Van Nieuwenhuizen, *Phys. Rev.* **D21** (1980) 3269;

[32] L. Bonora, P. Pasti and M. Tonin, *Phys. Lett.* **B149** (1984) 346;

[33] W. A. Bardeen and B. Zumino, *Nucl. Phys.* **B244** (1984) 421;

[34] L. Bonora, P. Pasti and M. Bregola, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **3** (1986) 635;

[35] F. Bastianelli and P. Van Niewenhuizen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B389** (1993) 53;

[36] S. Deser and A. Schwimmer, *Phys. Lett.* **B309** (1993) 279;

[37] O. Moritsch, M. Werneck de Oliveira and M. Schweda, *work in progress*;

[38] G. Bandelloni, *J. Math. Phys.* **27** (1986) 2551;
J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987) 2775;